

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/546,184	04/10/2000	Yashiko Wakabayashi	017446/0301	3000
22428 7:	590 05/21/2003			
FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			EXAMINER	
			YEH, EDITH M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2634	<u>َ</u> ن
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>- 1</del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/546,184	WAKABAYASHI, YASHIKO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Edith M Yeh	2634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) It, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed  fithirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BERNANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 /	April 2000 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□ Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
<b>4</b> )⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-8}$ is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🖂	Claim(s) 3,4 and 8 is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🗀	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	oted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>10 April 2000</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.						
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•				
12) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[	a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	)).			
14)[ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
`	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •				
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 7 & 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 7, line 1, the term "A circuit according to claim 4" should be "An equalizing method according to claim 6".

Claim 8, line 1, the term "A circuit according to claim 5" should be "An equalizing method according to claim 7".

Appropriate corrections are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, & 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uesugi et al. (US 5563911) in view of Kucar (US 5115454).

Regarding claims 1 & 6, Uesugi et al. discloses an equalizer circuit and it method, the circuit comprising: first and second equalizing means (33 & 34 FIG.5) for equalizing the reception signal (column 4 lines 15-28); control means (35 FIG.5) for alternately enabling the first and second equalizing means every frame reception (column 5 lines 62-64) wherein the equalizing means 34 handling the frame B (B FIG.1, reference signal + latter half data) and equalizing means 33 handling the frame A (A FIG.1,

Application/Control Norther: 09/546,184

Art Unit: 2634

reference signal + former half data); switching means (35 FIG.5) for alternately switching between outputs from the first and second equalizing means every frame reception and outputting the selected output as demodulation data (31, 35, 36-37 FIG.5, column 3 line 67-column 4 line 10); and a memory (32 FIG.5) storing the reception signals (column 4 line 14-24), however Uesugi et al. **does not specify** a means for sensing the start of a reception signal on the basis of a signal representing a reception level of the reception signal. Kucar teaches a carrier sensing means (114,116 Fig.5 '454) for sensing the reception signal on the reception level (column 7 lines 45-60 '454). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the carrier sensing means taught by Kucar in Uesugi et al.'s data receiver apparatus, wherein the timing of the carrier sensing means can be inputted (as the signal part/frame show in FIG. 1 '911), the sense signals are stored in memory 32 (FIG.5 '911) then outputted to the controller (35 FIG.7 '911) to improve the data detection for digital data (Abstract '454).

Regarding claims 2 & 7, Uesugi et al. discloses the control means (32, 35 FIG.5 '911) receiving the output of the sensing means (114, 116 Fig.5 '454) alternately outputs first and second sense signals to the first and second equalizing means (33&34 FIG.5) for a time interval from time when the detection signal is output from the carrier sensing means to time when equalizing processing is complete in the first and second equalizing means as indicated in the modified apparatus of claim 1 rejection, and the first and second equalizing means equalize the reception signal in response to first and second sense signals from the control means (FIG.7 '454).

Application/Control Number: 09/546,184

Art Unit: 2634

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uesugi et al. (US 5563911) in view of Kucar (US 5115454), further in view of Fudawa et al. (US 5710792).

Further Fudawa et al. teaches the adaptive equalizer comprising equalizer (10 FIG.4) for setting tap coefficients (19 FIG.4) and memories for storing preamble signals (11 FIG.4), detecting frequency offset values (23 FIG.4, Abstract), estimate transmission line characteristics (18 FIG.4, Abstract), and set the tap coefficients (19 FIG.4) at the start of reception of the reception signal (FIG.2). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to replace the equalizer of Uesugi et al. with the adaptive equalizer taught by Fudawa et al. to suppress transmission distortion (column 1 lines 5-7, '792) wherein the transmission distortion is the waveform distortion that Uesugi et al. tries to compensate (column 2 lines 48-53 '911).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-4, & 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edith M Yeh whose telephone number is 703-305-3416. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/546,184

Art Unit: 2634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4800.

Edith Yeh May 15, 2003

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600